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Abstract
This paper intends to present the research results that comprised a comparative facade analysis of 41 parish and non-parish Catholic churches on the island of S. Miguel, Azores, built during the 18th and 19th centuries. The research highlights the existence of a facade typology expressed in the formal similarities between them. The matrix composition and the ornamental elements present on the 63 churches’ facades were analysed and studied in detail in 41 churches, mapped and characterised by the elements that compose them. These are grouped into categories, allowing to establish and synthesise a typology, called the micaelense model facade, according to the composition principals present in the facades. The analysis method, the reading of the forms of the churches’ facades, and the metric survey of these were based on old and current photographic records, supported by a comparative analysis elaborated and organised from tables and synthetic schemes systematised in vector drawings (graphic representations made in CAD).

1. Introduction
The study presented in this paper demonstrates the existence of a model of the micaelense church, and exposes the methodology used in the master’s dissertation in architecture entitled "Uma tipologia de fachada na igreja micaelense (1728-1882)” (Vieira, 2019), which evidences the existence of the main facade typology in parochial and non-parochial Catholic churches on the island of São Miguel, Azores, built during the 18th and 19th centuries. This study focuses on the morphology of the church’s most significant component, the facade, that carries paramount symbolic importance and is a rich source of information in historical, cultural, spatial, temporal, social, ethical, moral, and aesthetic terms, transmitting a particular way of a people’s culture. This research aims to confirm a concept for this facade typology that emerges through the similarities between 41 parish and non-parish church facades. An analysis of the matrix composition and the ornamental elements present on the church’s facades is thus developed by mapping, characterising, and grouping the elements that compose them into categories. In this scope, it was necessary to make new graphical schemes due to the complexity of Vieira’s (2019) previous research and the size of the graphical analysis tables to present a synthesis of the work. The work synthesis will contribute to the knowledge of the distributive composition of the elements that design the facade, namely the central body, the tower, and the baptistery.

2. Material and Methods
The research starts with a comparative analysis of 63 parish and non-parish churches on the island of São Miguel, dating from the 18th to the 19th century, focusing on 41 churches whose facades present a morphological composition model (Vieira, 2019). To assess the method, we studied the facades and plants of the selected churches through a comparative analysis using old and current photographic records. Due to the scarcity of original technical drawings of the churches, the photographic elements were worked through CAD software. The churches were drawn with the rigour that the photographic features allow. The analysis and reading method of the forms focus mainly on the direct church facades observation, as in Quintão’s (2015) study, in which facade interpretation was based on drawings analysis. In this way, the study focuses in detail on the comparative analysis of the facades from the elaboration and organisation of schematics and synthesis drawings systematised in vectorial drawings (graphic representations made in CAD).

3. Literature Review
The literature review has shown the paucity of scientific studies that focus on the composition facade and technical drawings concerning the churches under investigation.
Despite the diversity of authors/chroniclers from various eras (16th century with Frutuoso (2011), 17th century with Mont’Alverne (1960-1962), 18th century with Cordeiro (1866), 19th century with Canto (2000), 20th century with Dias (1949-1950), 21st century with IAC and SIPA) who reflect on the theme of religious architecture in São Miguel and the Azores. These works focus on the historical part, building contextualisation, inventory, and characterisation, and rarely present a study on the reason for the existence of a church facade design replication, except Caldas (2011; 2012).

However, despite this lack of scientific studies on our research topic, the theories of these authors motivated this research. Through this Literature Review, we clarify several issues that led to our study, namely:

i) the existence of a particular way of living and people culture of the lower layers of society, as stated by Ataíde (2011);
ii) the idea of a "micaelense facade type" presented in a short investigation by Caldas (2011);
iii) the analysis of the drawings for reading the facades, through the work of Quintão (2015);
iv) and the historical framework of religious architecture in Portugal according to the analysis developed by Kubler (1988).

4. Theoretical framework

It is essential to mention that from the literature analysed for this study, five elements were extracted, which in our view, are central and structure the micaelense churches, namely: i) heritage; ii) authorship; iii) the facade; iv) the plant; v) the building material, which influences the facade and the plant.

Heritage is determined by island settlement, seismic activity, and Franciscan influence, which determined the image of the settlements, and the isolation that allowed a "crystallisation" of certain devotions brought from mainland Portugal (Almeida, 2012; Costa, 2012).

Regarding the authorship that includes architects, constructors, and masons of religious buildings on the island of São Miguel, we only have the list drawn up by Ataíde (2011), and nothing can confirm its veracity, being aggravated by this lack of correlation with the list of Portuguese architects drawn up by Viberto (1922). In addition, many names are still unaccounted for, which makes research difficult, as we cannot justify the relationship of similarity between the churches through their authors (architects/constructors). We can only highlight the name of the vicar João de Sousa Freire as a possible creator of the Ribeira Grande parish church’s scratch, considered the island’s mother church.

According to Caldas (2011), these buildings are not the work of an architect, but rather made by local builders who adapted a compositional structure seen elsewhere or who all started from the same model established in the 18th century on the island and developed by an architect.

The facade is composed of three distinct volumes, the central body, the tower, and the baptistery (Caldas, 2011), which read as a whole regardless of their compositional logic. The central body is a reflection of the plant (nave body/naves), whose constructive structure depends on the interior since the compositional elements of the facade correspond to the architectural/constructive elements that structure the plant. In other words, the pillars or columns correspond to the pilasters and the naves to the bay.

The plant is composed of three-naves for larger-scale churches, whose central nave is wider and taller than the sides or is composed of single-nave for smaller-scale churches, characterised by being an archaic construction for its construction time (18th and 19th century) (Caldas, 2011).

The material, basalt stone, endogenous to the island is characterised by its color that in contrast with the white wall facades, highlights the black color, increasing its light-shadow contrast, transmitting an impactful image and easy to memorise (Ataíde, 2011). This analysis justifies the methodology used to justify the existence of a "model facade", which is due to the similarity verified between facades, and which expresses a notion of image systematically adopted on the island of São Miguel.

5. “Model Facade” Concept

This facade starts from concepts already defined by Caldas and Sousa who suggest the following terminologies: "baroque micaelense (from the island of São Miguel) facade " (Caldas, 2011), "a type of micaelense facade " (Caldas, 2012) and " facade with baroque micaelense ornamental elements " (Sousa, 1986).

Due to the terminological inconsistency, Vieira (2019) establishes the concept of "model facade ", a concept that is divided into two words, facade, which represents the object of study, and model due to Rossi’s classification (1995) that defines and argues that the designation "model" refers to a precise (architectural) object that can be repeated and copied as it is.

Therefore, the concept of "model facade" (Vieira, 2019) applies to any facade with baroque micaelense ornamental elements whose compositional matrix is a classical referent and which conveys the notion of analogous image, which
is a consequence of its material (natural basalt stone on a white background) and its construction system (adapted to the endogenous material of the island). Therefore, the churches with "model facade" present:

i) baroque style ornaments defined by a pediment made up of volutes;
ii) a counter-curved cornice;
iii) a flat facade, and a compositional mix of classical elements, determined by the facade that reflects the floor plant;
iv) the trilithon structure (bay, level and entablature);
v) the elements that form the trilithon structure with the same expression;
vi) the double pediment (larger pediment surmounted by the smaller pediment) (Figure 1).

However, this analogous image is not only restricted to "model facade" churches, but also to the heritage and legacy of other facades, with various facade typologies emerging. Although there is a common image that extends to all existing churches on the island, due to the material used, we find different characteristics, which leads us to apply different designations, verifying the existence of churches whose facade conveys an image of "family", for having one of the classifications mentioned above (Baroque ornamentation or compositional matrix of classical reference), and even "non-standard" facade verifying the absence of the two classifications listed above, remaining only the material - basalt stone - but with another function, not structural but aesthetic.

Our reflection will focus exclusively on summarising the schemes for churches with a model facade.

6. Synthesis Schemes
As a starting and framing point, it was necessary to organise the case studies inserted in the 18th and 19th centuries, in chronological order according to the date of construction/refurbishment of the facade, and cross-check them later with the typological classification attributed to each building according to the facade composition (i) model churches; ii) family churches; iii) non-standard churches) (Vieira, 2019).

Thus, there are 26 parish and non-parish churches in the 18th century, of which 18 are model churches, 7 are family churches and 1 isolated case of the non-standard church, and 37 parish and non-parish churches in the 19th century, of which 23 are model churches, 6 are family churches and 8 are the non-standard church.

Thus, we can observe that the church typology with model facade is inserted in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, with its first construction of a three-nave church in 1728 with the N.ª da Estrela parish church in Matriz, Ribeira Grande municipality and its last construction in 1882 with the N. S. do Rosário parish church in Achadinha, Nordeste municipality and for churches with single-nave, its first construction in 1728 with the Santa Clara parish church in Santa Clara, Ponta Delgada municipality and its last construction in 1865 with the N. S. do Amparo parish church in Algarvia, Nordeste municipality.

To better understand the 41 churches that present a model facade, we tried to establish visual and metric relationships among the various elements that compose the facade, the central body, the tower, the baptistery, and their distribution in the territory.

6.1 Central Body
To understand the distributive composition of the elements that design the facade, direct observation and comparison was made to the various photographs of the different churches. For that, Scheme 1 was created that
analyses the architectural and artistic structure of the central body, excluding the tower and baptistery bodies that are seen as independent bodies that mostly do not follow the metric of the central body of the facade.

**Scheme 1. Typological classification (model) (Developed by Author)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Model Facade</th>
<th>3 Naves</th>
<th>1 Nave</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 Bays, 3 Levels, 2 Entablatures</td>
<td>3 Naves</td>
<td>3 Bays</td>
<td>1 Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Nave</td>
<td>2 Levels</td>
<td>1 Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 Entablatures</td>
<td>1 Entablature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Elements**

- **3 Doors, 3 Windows, 1 Niche between windows**
  - **3 Naves**
    - 3 Doors + 2 Windows + 1 Half height window
    - 1 Door
    - 1 Oculus under
  - **1 Nave**
    - 1 Door
    - 1 Window under
    - 1 Niche over

- **3 Doors, 3 Windows, 1 Oculus**
  - **3 Naves**
    - 3 Doors + 2 Windows + 1 Window under
    - 1 Door
    - 1 Window under
    - 1 Oculus over
  - **1 Nave**
    - 1 Door
    - 1 Window over

- **Elements of old building**
  - 1 Door
  - 1 Window over

- **3 Doors, 3 Windows, 1 Window**
  - **3 Naves**
    - 3 Doors + 2 Windows + 1 Window
    - 1 Door
    - 1 Window between
  - **1 Nave**
    - 1 Door
    - 1 Window

**Window Type:** Oculus, Fenestration, Window, Niche
Given the complexity of this scheme and the fact that it was the starting point for the analysis of the church's facades in São Miguel, it was necessary to use synthetic drawings to represent the compositional and metric logic of each facade. Thus, Scheme 1 is divided into three groups according to the number of naves (plant) and the number of bays (bay is the structuring part that corresponds to the filling surface between the supporting elements and is expressed as a wall. It is always found in odd numbers, with the central bay standing out for its importance because its width is greater than the other side bay (end or middle) (Quintão, 2005)), levels (is the unstructured part between two horizontal elements. Morphologically, the level corresponds to a floor, but it is not so linear, because a facade may contain more floors and represent only a smaller number of levels (Quintão, 2005)), and entablatures (entablature is the third element that builds the portico / trilithic, understood as a horizontal element. The entablature makes the separation of the remaining components of the facade and is composed of architrave, frieze, and cornice, varying according to the order to which it belongs (Quintão, 2005; Rodrigues, 2002)) (facade). Next, each group is organised and classified according to the elements that make up the facade. According to the type and number of spans (doors, windows, and recesses), the configuration of the spans' lintel (straight or curved), and the location of the spans along the bays and levels.

Through Scheme 1, we can see that the model facade follows the various scales of construction occurring on the island, from three-nave main churches to the more humble and smaller churches of only single-nave.

Thus, the model facade is the one that always presents, in churches with three-naves, a compositional matrix of three bays, three levels, and two entablatures (i); or a compositional matrix of three bays, two levels, and two entablatures (ii); in churches with single-nave, a compositional matrix of one bay, one level and one entablature (iii).

(Figure 2).

Figure 2. Group i) Church of Lomba da Maia (left); Group ii) Church of Lomba da Pedreira (central); Group iii) Church of São Vicente Ferreira (right) (Developed by Author)

Group i) of a compositional matrix of three bays, three levels, and two entablatures, is organised:
- On the first level, of access, by three doors, one corresponding to each bay, whose central door stands out from the rest by its size or by a door between windows in a higher position.
- On the second level, for lighting, by three windows each one topping a door, and the openings can all be of the same size or the central opening can be larger than the others.
- On the third level, the nave is illuminated by a niche bearing an image of the oracle, between windows (or not) or a central oculus or by a window identical to the windows on the lower level.

Group ii) of a compositional matrix of three bays, two levels, and two entablatures, is organised:
- On the first level, access, by three doors, one corresponding to each bay, whose central door stands out from the rest by its size or by a door between windows in a higher position, corresponding to each bay, or even by only one door in the central bay.
- On the second level, to illuminate the nave, with three windows; the openings may all be of the same size, or the central opening may be larger than the others, and in these cases, the central opening may or may not interrupt the first entablature.

And group iii) of a compositional matrix of a bay, a level, and an entablature, for churches of single-nave, is organised:
- On the first level, access, is by a single door topped by an oculus or a window. There are also situations where there is a window, an oculus or a niche above the entablature, or a window that interrupts the entablature due to its size.
We highlight in both groups situations where the facade takes advantage of constructive elements from a previous construction by adapting these elements to the new facade reformulation.

Thus, according to Scheme 2 in group i) we can observe seven variants of architectural structure, where:

- In the first row, 3 doors, 3 windows, and 1 niche between windows fit the N. S.ª da Estrela parish church in Matriz.
- In the second row, we present an architectural structure identical to the previous one, however, it adds the adaptation of elements of the old construction, which fits the São Sebastião parish church in São Sebastião. Due to the aesthetics of the Manueline portal, there is an adjustment in the second level where the central window is replaced by two central windows. Moreover, its current facade was the target of restorations and maintenance and changed in the twentieth century with the demolition of the niche and windows, on the third level, for the reintroduction of a rose window, with the principle of aesthetic reintegration of the primitive gothic style church.
- In the third row, 3 doors, 3 windows and 1 niche, we can see the N. S.ª da Saúde parish church in Arrifes and the N. S.ª da Ajuda parish church in Ajuda da Bretanha.
- In the fourth row, with 3 doors, 3 windows, and 1 oculus, we can observe the isolated case of São Jorge parish church in Nordeste.
- In the fifth row, 3 doors, 3 windows, and 1 window, we can observe the most significant composition, with 10 cases, the Church of N. S.ª do Rosário, the Church of Maia, the Church of Capelas, the Church of Rabo de Peixe, the Church of Ribeirinha, the Church of Fenais da Ajuda, the Church of Santa Cruz, the Church of Ponta Garça, the Church of Lomba da Maia and the Church of Povoação (Vila).
- In the sixth row, we have an architectural structure identical to the previous one, however, on the first level of access, the doors are composed of the carved lintel, fitting the churches of Ribeira Seca, Água Retorta, and Acharinha.
- In the seventh row, 1 door flanked by windows, 2 windows, and 1 window we can observe the isolated case of the N. S.ª da Luz parish church in Fenais da Luz, which despite having a plant composed of three-naves and a facade with three levels, its facade height is lower than the other cases present in this group, so the access portal occupies the first two levels not allowing the alignment of 3 windows on the second level.

In group ii) we can observe seven variants of architectural structure, where:
- In the first row, 3 doors, 3 windows, composed of 2 side windows and 1 central window, slightly elevated interrupting the entablature, we can see the churches of Santo António, Pico da Pedra, and Cabouco.
- In the second and third row, 1 door flanked by windows and 1 window, fit the Church of Santana with a straight lintel door and the N. S.ª da Luz parish church in Nordeste (Pedreira) with a curved lintel door.
- In the fourth row, 1 door flanked by 2 windows and 1 slightly elevated window interrupting the entablature presents the N. S.ª da Graça parish church in Faial da Terra.
- In the fifth and sixth row, we present an architectural structure identical to the previous one, however, there is the adaptation of elements of the old construction that for this reason interrupt not only the entablature but also change the shape of the horizontal entablature that forms the pediment, it means, instead of being a horizontal entablature this one follows the shape of the span, therefore, in the case of the N. S.ª do Rosário non-parish church in Povoação (velha) the entablature is broken linearly, and in the case of the N. S.ª da Graça parish church in Porto Formoso the entablature is broken in a curved shape.
- On the seventh row, with 1 isolated door on the first level and located in the central bay, topped by 1 window on the second level, we can see the N. S.ª da Anunciação parish church in Achada.

In group iii) we can observe eight variants of architectural structure, where:
- In the first and second row, 1 door topped by 1 oculus, enchase the São Pedro non-parish church in Lomba de São Pedro, with a straight lintel door, and the São Sebastião parish church in Ginetes and the Menino Jesus parish church in Ribeira das Tainhas with a curved lintel door.
- In the third row, 1 door topped by 1 window, crowned by a pediment with 1 niche, fits the São Pedro parish church in São Pedro.
- In the fourth row, 1 door topped by 1 window, we can see the Santa Clara parish church in Santa Clara, the N. S.ª das Necessidades parish church in Rosário (Atalhada) and the N. S.ª dos Remédios parish church in Remédios da Bretanha.
- In the fifth and sixth row, 1 door topped by 1 window, crowned by a pediment with 1 oculus, are the N. S.ª do Pilar parish church in Pilar da Bretanha with straight lintel door and the churches of Santana in Furnas, N. S.ª das Candeias in Candelária and N. S.ª dos Milagres in Arrifes with curved lintel door.
- In the seventh row, 1 door topped by a pediment with 1 window, is the São Vicente Ferreira parish church in São Vicente Ferreira.
- In the eighth row, we present an architectural structure identical to the previous one, however, the window located in the pediment interrupts the entablature, fitting the N. S.ª do Amparo parish church in Algarvia.

6.2 Tower
Although the body of the tower and the baptistery are seen as independent elements concerning the central body and in some cases are subsequent constructions to the construction of the remaining church, the tower is a fundamental element for its functional character of communication (religious acts and notices). Thus, its reading cannot be indissociable, regardless of not following the metric of the central body of the facade.
### Scheme 3. Characterization of the tower body (Developed by Author)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identification - Locality</th>
<th>Identification - Locality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ginetes Candelária</td>
<td>Fenais da Luz Pico da Pedra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>São Vicente Ferreira Lomba de São Pedro</td>
<td>Nordeste (Pedreira) Achadinha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribeira das Tainhas</td>
<td>Santa Clara Arrifes (Milagres)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algarvia Santo António Ribeira Seca</td>
<td>Porto Formoso Falal da Terra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algarvia Ponta Garça Lomba da Maia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algarvia Ribeirinha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algarvia CABOUCO Povoação (Villa) Santana</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algarvia Rabo de Peixe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilar da Bretanha Ajuda da Bretanha</td>
<td>Arrifes (Saúde) Água Retorta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilar da Bretanha Matriz</td>
<td>Rosário (Atalhada) Remédios da Bretanha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilar da Bretanha São Sebastião</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilar da Bretanha Capelas Povoação (velha)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilar da Bretanha Nordeste (Villa)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilar da Bretanha N.ª Sr.ª do Rosário</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilar da Bretanha Furnas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilar da Bretanha São Pedro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilar da Bretanha Maia Fenais da Ajuda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilar da Bretanha Santa Cruz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Window Type: Oculus Fenestration Window

Note: The churches are identified from their locality.
Thus, Scheme 3 specifies the study of the tower morphology divided into two groups according to the number of naves and consequently divided according to their location (The ideology of location (right or left) assumes that any object is observed from within and never observed from the outside, so the observer is positioned inside the church facing the main door).

To read the body of the tower, we resorted again to synthesis drawings that represent the compositional and metric logic of the facade. Thus, the respective drawings show the body of the tower divided into two levels separated by an entablature. At the lower level, also called body or tower-bay, we will have the study regarding the type, number, typology/format (window, oculus, fenestration), and the disposition of the openings. And on the second level, also called the crowning, we will have the part corresponding to the belfry composed of one or two windows, crowned by a balustrade or a dome roof.

Generally, towers with or without spans are presented.

- In the cases of towers with an oculus, these vary in number, with towers with one, two, three, and four oculi, whose shape can be circular or heart-shaped, and also with simple or richly carved stone frames.
- In the case of towers with fenestrations, small openings, are usually rectangular, and these vary in number with towers appearing with one, two, and three fenestrations, centered or aligned to one side.
- In the case of towers with windows, these vary in number, with towers appearing with two or three centered windows, presenting an identical configuration to the windows of the central body.

Through Scheme 3, we can see that thirteen variants of the architectural structure of the tower occur, alternating in both location and scale of the church, where:

For churches with a window in the crowning, we can observe:
- In the first row, with an empty nave located on the left, are the churches of Ginetes and Candelária. For single-nave churches and the churches of São Sebastião, Fenaís da Luz and Pico da Pedra for three-nave churches.
- In the second row, with 1 oculus, located on the left, the churches of São Vicente Ferreira and Lomba de São Pedro for single-nave churches, and the churches of Ajuda da Bretanha and Achada for three-nave churches.
- In the third line, with 2 oculi, located on the left, the church of Ribeira das Tainhas for single-nave churches and the churches of Nordeste (Pedreira) and Chadinha for three-nave churches. And located on the right are the churches of Santa Clara and Arrifes (Milagres) for single-nave churches and the church of N. S. do Rosário for three-nave churches.
- In the fourth row, with 3 oculi, the most significant composition, with 11 cases, but presents a greater variety of frame shapes, with 4 round windows in the shape of an inverted heart, 4 with a simple frame, 2 with worked frame. Located on the left, the church of Algarvias for single-nave churches and the churches of Santo Antônio, Ribeira Seca, Ponta Garça, Lomba da Maia, Ribeirinha, Cabouco, Povoação (Vila) and Santana for three-nave churches. And located to the right are the churches of Porto Formoso and Faial da Terra for three-nave churches.
- In the fifth row, with 4 oculi, the isolated case of the church of Rabo de Peixe, with its tower on the right.
- In the sixth row, with 1 fenestration, located on the left, the church of Pilar da Bretanha for single-nave churches and the churches of Ajuda da Bretanha and Achada for three-nave churches. And the one located on the right, the church of Rosário (Atalhada) for single-nave churches, and the churches of Arrifes (Sáude) and Água Retorta for three-nave churches.
- In the ninth row, with 2 fenestrations, located on the left and for three-nave churches, the church of Capelas, which besides the balustrade crowning presents a dome roof, and the churches of Povoação (velha) and Nordeste (Vila).
- In the twelfth row, with 2 frame windows, located to the right and for single-nave churches, São Pedro church.
- In the thirteenth row, with 3 windows of the identical frame to the windows of the central body, for three-nave churches, located on the left the churches of Maia and Fenaís da Ajuda and located on the right the church of Santa Cruz.

For churches with two windows in the crown, we can observe:
- In the eighth row, with 1 fenestration, located on the left, an isolated case is the São Sebastião church, which besides the balustrade crowning presents the addition of a new body, built in the 19th century, crowned by a roof that houses the clock.
- In the tenth row, with 2 fenestrations, located on the right, is the N. S.ª Rosário church.

For churches with four windows in the crown, we can observe:
- In the seventh row, with 1 fenestration, located on the right, isolated case of the church of Matriz, whose lower windows are aesthetic.

6.3 Baptistry
Likewise, the body of the baptistery presents itself as an independent construction in the overall facade, whose presence is less foreshadowed.

This way, Scheme 4 specifies the study of the morphology of the baptistery, dividing it into three groups according to the number of naves and the absence of the body. This scheme is consequently organised similarly to the tower study, divided according to its location, the type, the number, the typology/shape, the arrangement of spans, and the number of levels.

Generally, baptisteries are presented with or without openings and composed of one or two levels. In the cases of single-level baptisteries, these display only a single central opening, of the fenestration or window type, the latter being able to have a simple frame or a worked frame identical to the frame of the windows of the central body. In the case of two-level baptisteries, these feature one or two centered single-framed windows.

Scheme 4. Characterization of the baptistery body (Developed by Author)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identification - Locality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribeirinha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>São Sebastião</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenaís da Luz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajuda da Bretanha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabo de Peixe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribeira Seca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenaís da Ajuda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponta Garça</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lomba da Maia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achadinha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santo Antônio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matriz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordeste (Vila)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Window Type: • Oculus   • Fenestration   [] Window

Note: The churches are identified from their locality.
Through Scheme 4, we can see that most churches do not present baptistery in the facade composition with 9 cases for both church scales. Thus, there are 3 cases of non-parish churches, Lomba de São Pedro, Furnas and Povoação (velha). And 15 cases of parish churches whose baptistery is inside the church or markedly recessed, presenting itself in a second plant not part of the composition of the facade, as is the case in churches of Santa Clara, São Pedro, Arrifes (Milagres), Rosário (Atalhada), Ribeira das Tainhas, Remédios da Bretanha, Pilar da Bretanha, Arrifes (Saúde), N. S.ª do Rosário, Capelas, Pico da Pedra, Santa Cruz, Cabouco, Água Retorta, Nordeste (Pedreira).

In the cases of churches that feature a baptistery body on the facade, we can see five variants of architectural structure, alternating in both location and scale of the church, where:

- In the first row, with an empty bay, located on the left and slightly indented, for three-naves churches, the churches of Achada and Ribeirinha.
- In the second row, with 1 fenestration, located to the left and slightly indented, for three-naves churches, the churches of São Sebastião, Fenais da Luz, Ajuda da Bretanha, Rabo de Peixe and Ribeira Seca. And located to the right for single-nave churches, the churches of São Vicente Ferreira and Candelária.
- In the third row, with 1 window, the most significant composition for three-naves churches and located on the left, we can observe with simple frames the churches of Ponta Graça, Lomba da Maia, Santana, Achadinha and with frames identical to the windows of the central body and tower, the churches of Maia, Fenais da Ajuda. And located on the right are the churches of a single-nave, the churches of Ginetes and Algarvia.
- In the fourth row, with two levels and with 1 fenestration on the second level, located on the left, the isolated case of the Church of Santo António.
- In the fifth row, with 2 fenestrations one for each level, located on the left, are the churches of Matriz and Nordeste (Vila).

6.4 Metric
The analysis of the model facade is not only restricted to the characterisation of its morphology through the synthesis drawing but is also understood about the metric of these three bodies (central body, tower, and baptistery). Scheme 5 was developed to show that the similarity between these various model facades is not only visual but also metric. In this way, the table is divided by its various bodies, presenting the width of each body, in meters, to better analyse the number of existing cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements (meters)</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Central Body</td>
<td>3 naves</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptistry</td>
<td>3 naves</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 nave</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The measurements oscillate according to the scale of the church, they correspond to churches with single-nave or three-naves.

In this scheme we highlight the measures that are most often repeated, concluding that:
- The size of the central body varies from seven to eighteen meters or twenty-one meters, with three-nave churches presenting seven cases with fourteen meters and fifteen meters, and single-nave churches presenting six cases with eight meters.
- Tower size ranges from two to seven meters, with the most common case being a three and four meters tower in both church plants, with 12 cases of churches presenting a width of three meters and 11 cases for churches presenting a width of four meters churches of three-naves and with 9 cases for single-nave churches.
- The size of the baptistery in both scales shows a front dimension of two to five meters or seven meters, with the measure of four meters being the most common in both church plants, with 8 cases for three-nave churches and 4 cases for single-nave churches.
6.5 Location
Unsurprisingly, the location of each church on the island was also studied according to their typological distribution, through Figure 3, and according to the typological classification assigned to each building according to the composition of the facade (Vieira, 2019). Thus, for each church facade (only the central body) a synthesis and schematic design is produced, developed from two principles, the compositional matrix (bays, levels, and entablements) and the configuration of the pediment through its ornaments (baroque (counter-curved) or triangular pediment). Consequently, the synthesis designs of church facades are divided into three representations:

i) churches with a model facade;
ii) churches with family facade;
iii) churches with non-standard facade;

First, we can see that the municipality with the largest number of parish and non-parish churches is Ponta Delgada, with 25 cases, of which 13 are churches with a model facade, 7 are churches with a family facade, and 5 are churches with a non-standard facade. Following the municipality of Ribeira Grande with 14 cases, 10 are churches with model facade, 2 are churches with family facade and 2 are churches with non-standard facade. With a smaller number, we have the municipality of Nordeste with 8 cases, of which 6 are churches with model facade and 2 are churches with family facade, not presenting any case of churches with non-standard facade. Following is the municipality of Povoação with 6 cases, 5 are churches with model facade and 1 church with family facade, not presenting any case of churches with non-standard facade. And finally, the municipalities of Vila Franca do Campo and Lagoa both with 5 cases. Thus, the municipality of Vila Franca do Campo presents 3 churches with model facade and 2 churches with non-standard facade, not presenting any case of churches with family facade. And the municipality of Lagoa with 4 churches with a model facade and 1 church with family facade, presenting no case of churches with non-standard facade.

Figure 3. Typological distribution of the churches’ facades on São Miguel Island (Developed by Author)

Secondly, although the Ponta Delgada municipality has the most churches with a model facade, it is in the Povoação and Lagoa
municipalities that this type of facade has the most presence, as can be seen in Scheme 6, which shows the relationship between the three models of facade in the six municipalities of the island, first globally and then individually.

**Scheme 6.** Model facade ratio (global and individual - Percentage) (Developed by Author)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% by municipality</th>
<th>Ponta Delgada</th>
<th>Ribeira Grande</th>
<th>Vila Franca do Campo</th>
<th>Nordeste</th>
<th>Povoação</th>
<th>Lagoa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model Facade</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Facade</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-standard Facade</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% globally</th>
<th>Ponta Delgada</th>
<th>Ribeira Grande</th>
<th>Vila Franca do Campo</th>
<th>Nordeste</th>
<th>Povoação</th>
<th>Lagoa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model Facade</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Facade</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-standard Facade</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the Nordeste, Povoação, and Lagoa municipalities, there are no cases of churches with non-standard facade in both centuries, and in the municipality of Vila Franca do Campo, there are no cases of churches with family facade in both centuries.

In summary, we can observe that the facade that prevails in all municipalities is the model facade.

And third, in Scheme 7, there were 26 parish and non-parish churches in the 18th century, of which 18 are model churches, 8 are family churches, and 1 isolated case of non-standard church, and 37 parish and non-parish churches in the 19th century, of which 23 are model churches, 5 are family churches and 8 are non-standard church.

**Scheme 7.** Number of cases per century (Developed by Author)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>no. of cases</th>
<th>Ponta Delgada</th>
<th>Ribeira Grande</th>
<th>Vila Franca do Campo</th>
<th>Nordeste</th>
<th>Povoação</th>
<th>Lagoa</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18th Model Facade</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th Family Facade</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th Non-standard Facade</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**7 Results and Discussions**

With this research, it was possible to analyse and synthesise a facade typology among the various parish and non-parish churches on the island of São Miguel, Azores, and simultaneously map and establish a model of the *micaelense* facade, according to the composition principles present in the analysed facades.

The concept of a model facade has been precisely defined, through the facts presented throughout the graphic diagrams and summary drawings. Therefore, the concept of a model facade applies to any facade with baroque *micaelense* ornamental whose compositional matrix is a classical reference and conveys the notion of a unifying image, which is also a consequence of its construction method.

Thus, the model facade is marked between 1728 and 1882, a period in which a large number of churches with identical compositions appear (41 parish and non-parish churches). These churches follow the model of the mother churches of Ribeira Grande (1728) and Ponta Delgada (1733) which were designated by Ataíde (2011) and Caldas (2011) as mother churches because they served as an example and model for all other churches that were built on the island.

Thus, the model facade is the one with the central body:

i) a compositional matrix of three bays, three levels, and two entablatures, for three-naves churches;

ii) a compositional matrix of three bays, two levels, and two entablatures, for three-naves churches;

iii) a compositional matrix of one bay, one level, and one entablature, for single-nave churches (Figure 4).
It is important to emphasise that the construction material of the facades contributes to the materialisation of an image that is easy to remember, reinforcing the imposing nature of the church. The facade reflects the floor plant, whether in larger or smaller scale churches.

Although there is a common image of the church facade in São Miguel that extends to all existing churches on the island, due to the material used, we find different characteristics, which leads us to apply distinctive designations. In this way, we verify the existence of two more facade images that have a greater or lesser degree of comparison with the model facade image.

After analysing the rules that make up the facades of the churches on the island of São Miguel, separately, according to the central body, the tower, and the baptistery, design tendencies were evident.

Thus, the model facade presents only one tower and most are located primarily on the left, but exceptionally, due to lack of deployment space, the construction of the tower is done on the right. It was observed that the tower is divided into two levels, being the upper level, crowned by a belfry leaked, on each side, by a window in a perfect round arch, usually set on pilasters, finished by a balustrade, and the lower level presented by the two most significant situations and those present distinct logics and compositions. The tower is composed of three windows that relate to the facade of the central body from its alignment, size, or decoration of the frame of the spans, and the tower is composed of three oculi that are in no way related to the facade of the central body, however, is the most significant model (Figure 5).

As for the baptistery, it is located on the right, with a simple composition, composed of only one window, which usually follows and relates to the facade of the central body from its alignment or decoration of the frame.

The facades understudy assumes formal characteristics in the central body of the church, as a rule, completely autonomous in relation to the tower and the baptistery, whose entablature alignments and proportion of the facade lighting spans are in different harmonies.

In addition to the visual analysis and direct comparison between the various facades, a quantitative evaluation was carried out through a metric survey of the width of the facade, highlighting the measures that are most repeated in three-bays and single-bay churches. Remember that these constructions did not follow the metric system, but units of measurement such as the palm, the foot and the inch. The use of these measurements will certainly bring more accurate conclusions.
Regarding the size of the central body of the facade, it was observed that this varies between seven to eighteen meters or twenty-one meters, with churches with three naves generally presenting fourteen and fifteen meters wide and churches with one nave generally presenting facades eight meters wide. About the dimension of the tower, this varies between two to six meters, being the most common case the tower with three and four meters in both church plants. The baptistery, in both scales, presents a front dimension of two to five meters or seven meters, being the dimension of four meters the most common in both church plants. This landscape profile conveyed by the various churches with model facades is present in small and large urban and rural centres, spreading evenly throughout the territory. It was also possible to see that in the parishes that have more than one church, it is the parish church that presents the facade with the model composition.

8. Conclusion
In accordance to what was initially proposed with this study, it was possible to analyse and synthesise a typology among several churches on the island of São Miguel through graphic and analytical design creating schemes conceived using a photographic survey accompanied by notes and synthesis drawings for the interpretation of a common facade concept on the island of São Miguel. Despite the absence of original technical drawings and/or reproductions, or even in the absence of means, mechanisms, and knowledge for the elaboration of accurate, fast, and effective architectural surveys, it was possible from photographs with the aid of synthesis drawing to elaborate a theory and a concept. In this work, it was only possible to make an initial and primary analysis regarding the understanding of these facades through synthesis drawings. However, it serves as a motto for future research, since it intends the enrichment of Azorean culture and history, through the decomposition (of the facade) of an element (church) that is part of the identity of the people and presents a methodology that relies on computational tools to aid the substantiation of the model facade concept, due to the scarcity of data and documentary gaps and the absence of references on the subject. This work raises several questions, like understanding the origin of this type of facade in the parish and non-parish Catholic churches on the island of São Miguel, who its authors are, as well as to understand the means of transmission and transformation of the classical and baroque decorative elements.
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